

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN
AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

No. CACE 94-09881 (03)

GOOCH SUPPORT SYSTEMS, INC.,
GOOCH ENTERPRISES, INC.,
HAL GOOCH and CHRIS GOOCH,
Plaintiffs,

vs.

MAHALEEL LEE LUSTER,
Defendant.

COPY

APPEARANCES:

MCDONALD & CRAWFORD, P.A.
By: WILLIAM G. CRAWFORD, JR., ESQ.
and STEPHEN J. MCDONALD, ESQ.
Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiffs

WILLIAM WEST, ESQ.
Appearing on behalf of Gooch in North Carolina

LITCHFORD & CHRISTOPHER, P.A.
By: DONALD E. CHRISTOPHER, ESQ.
and G. STEVEN FENDER, ESQ.
Appearing on behalf of the Defendant

The above-styled cause came on for
Non-Jury Trial held before the Honorable PATTI
ENGLANDER HENNING, Presiding Judge, at the Broward
County Courthouse, on the 23rd day of June, 2003 at
10:50 a.m.

1 First of all, he said, Steve, we need to
2 record the judgment in Broward County. I said, send
3 it down to me, I'll take care of it, which I did.

4 In addition, what he said was we need to
5 take his deposition in aid of execution, which we
6 did. We never completed that because it was pretty
7 obvious to us that based on everything that we saw,
8 we went through about two, three boxes of materials
9 that he produced at the deposition together, and it
10 was evident that he had nothing. He rented his
11 apartment. He had a leased vehicle. He showed
12 basically he had nothing.

13 So then what happened was Mr. Christopher
14 said, you know, one of the things that I can do is
15 why don't we try to go after his shows in action,
16 meaning the counterclaim Mr. Luster had against
17 Mr. Gocch. I said, you know, that's a great idea.
18 Let me go ahead and I'll take care of that down here
19 in Broward County.

20 So what I did is I filed -- we filed a
21 separate case. Mr. Christopher and I were
22 co-counsel and it fell in Judge Zloch's division.

23 As a matter of fact, we ended up getting
24 an order I believe where I think it was signed by
25 the magistrate Judge Seltzer.

1 MR. CRAWFORD: Just hold on a second.
2 We'll put that in evidence.

3 THE CLERK: Plaintiff's 9.

4 (Thereupon, the referred-to document was
5 marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9 for
6 identification by the court reporter.)

7 Q Plaintiff's 9 is that?

8 A I think.

9 Q Let me show it to you first before you say
10 something. Is this the order?

11 A That was the order on the plaintiff's
12 motion to seize Mahaleel Luster's shows in action.

13 Q Was Anderson and Haugen substituted in?

14 A Per the order he was to be substituted in
15 in the place and stead of Lee Luster.

16 Q All right. So earlier this morning what
17 you heard Don Christopher say about his clients was
18 not correct?

19 A He was in error. But when we had the
20 discussion about the shows in action what I want the
21 court to understand is that one of the things that
22 Don Christopher and I talked about, I said, well,
23 look, obviously if you seize on that shows in
24 action, and remember the Grables were also, you
25 know, involved in this although subsequently they